IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 611 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Rafaqat S/o Late Abdul Sattar.
2. Rashid S/o Late Abdul Sattar.
   Both R/o Someshwar Mandir Marg.
   Shantinagar, Rishikesh,
   District Dehradun. ..... Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through Home Secretary,
   Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Station House Officer,
   Police Station Kotwali
   District Dehradun.
3. Shahadat Ali S/o Sri Mohd. Yusuf,
   R/o Bhart Mandir College, Shantinagar,
   Rishikesh, District Dehradun. ..... Respondents

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.
Mr. Yogesh Pandey, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Arvind Vashishth, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J.(Oral)

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. Yogesh Pandey, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Arvind Vashishth, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Mr. D.K. Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the investigation in the case has already been completed and the charge sheet against the petitioners would be filed in the near future before the concerning court.

3. As the petitioners' prayer for interim relief was dismissed vide order dated 23.05.2006 and the investigation in the case has already been completed, the writ petition, in our opinion, now has become infructuous.

4. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

5. With the above order, CLMA No. 8025 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 10.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.) 10.07.2006

G